

Route Number	2	5	6
Length of Scheme	4.3km	6.5km	3.8km
Support on Consultation Questionnaire	62%	34%	4%

Economics

Cost (1998 base)	£10.3m	£15.9m	£10.7m
COBA* (BCR**)	2.5	1.7	1.3
Accidents saved over 30 year period	26	11	13

GOMMMS***

Environment Sub-objectives

Land Use	Moderate adverse	Large adverse	Slight adverse
Noise	Beneficial	Beneficial	Beneficial
Local Air Quality	Beneficial	Beneficial	Beneficial
Green House Gases	Neutral	Slight adverse	Slight adverse
Landscape	Large adverse	Large adverse	Large adverse
Townscape	Beneficial	Beneficial	Beneficial
Heritage	Moderate adverse	Moderate adverse	Slight adverse
Biodiversity	Moderate adverse	Moderate adverse	Moderate adverse
Water Environment	Slight adverse	Large adverse	Large adverse
Physical Fitness	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral
Journey Ambience	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral
Integration	Neutral	Slight adverse	Slight adverse

Traffic Flow (2007)

Flow through village	7,900	13,300	4,200
Flow on route	20,300	15,400	25,400
Isham Relief	67%	45%	82%

* COBA (**CO**st **B**enefit **A**nalysis). The Governments computer program used for the Economic Assessment of Road Schemes COBA11 was released in March 2001

** BCR Benefit to Cost Ratio

*** GOMMMS (Guidance on the Methodology for Multi-Modal Studies), March 2000

COMPARISON SUMMARY TABLE OF ROUTES 2, 5 AND 6